

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Response to Secretary of States Request for Comments as per letter 25th April2022 My Unique Ref 20026268
Date: 07 May 2022 13:38:41

The focus of my coments are as follows;

1.Further Mitigation in relation to noise and vibration on B1122 nd A12.

2. Effectiveness of proposed control Mechanisms re Sizewell Link Road ,and Two Village By pass

3. Desalination

1. Further Mitigation before the SLR is in place

I live immediately adjacent to the A12 in Marlesford. Given that is intended that the village should not be by passed, I remain very concerned about the adverse impact of SizewellC construction traffic and the cumulative impact of traffic associated with onshore iNfrastructue for windfarms and interconnectors. I support the provision for better mitigation for noise and vibration on B1122 and A12(

(through Farnham and Straford St Andrew), but would point out to the SoS that whilst eventually those residing along the B1122 in Farnham and Stratford ST Andrew will eventually benefit from the Link road and a Two Village By Pass, Marlesford will not benefit.

Marlesford will in effect bear the full brunt of the construction traffic for the whole of the main site construction period.

I submit therefore as a minimum the Applicant should be required to carryout proper baseline surveys on noise and vibration and to regulrally monitor these levels once construction starts.

2. Effectiveness of Propsed Control Mechanisms Sizewell Link Road and Two Village By - Pass:

The Applicant was asked by the SoS at 4.1 on the 18th March to advise as to whether or not a control mechanism(s)could secure the delivery of the sizewell link road and the two Village bypass in advance of the commencement of phase1 Works on the Main

Development Site.with consideration given to the effects of heavy duty vehicles on B1122

Here the focus is on the the early delivery of the Link road and Two Village Bypass . i

would draw he SoS attension to the fact that the SZC.Co design for The Two Village BY-Pass precludes it's ultimate connection of a BYpass for Marlesford and Little Glenham.

This is clearly a grave stratigic design error and would effectively preclude the delivery of a Four village By-pass as proposed BY Suffolk County Council>

3. Decalination:

I remain concerned that there is no settled position on the long term supply of water to the MaIn SIZC site . Any prolonged use of a desalination plant would be both economically costly and enviouromentally damaging in that it would add further to traffic on tha A12. It was not in the Original proposal.

Brenda P. Lavender